

April 9, 2010

VIA FACSIMILE AND REGULAR MAIL

Federal Election Commission General Counsel's Office Attn: Jeff S. Jordan 999 E Street NW Washington DC 20463

RE: MEJE 6256

Dear Mr. Jordan:

Our office has been retained by Michael Babich and Citizens to Elect Michael Babich in connection with the above referenced MUR. An executed Statement of Designation of Counsel was previously provided to your office.

This letter is sent to respend to the complaint which initiated this matter. In summary, may clients have complied with the filting requirements of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and therefore deny that any intentional violation of the law or the FEC's regulations has occurred. We respectfully request that the complaint be dismissed.

Relevant facts to this matter are set forth in the attached Declaration of Michael Babich.

The Complaint sets forth four allegations or counts. The overall basis for the allegations is the incorrect assumption that my client had qualified as a "candidate" within the meaning of 11 CFR section 100.3 at an earlier date than the actual date. Under the criteria in section 100.3, Mr. Babich was not a candidate until at least Masch 5, 2010 when he opened his compaign bank account and set up a Paypal account to callect containations through his campaign websits. Even at that time, he had not received contributions in excess of \$5,000 or made expenditures in excess of \$5,000, or otherwise met the criteria for a "candidate." In any event, the Declaration of Candidacy and Statement of Organization were then timely filed with the FEC on March 15, 2010.

The Complaint class cites to the regulations governing the "testing the water," exception to the consistent filing requirements and argues my client had met the criteria for becoming a candidate under those sules. Moreover, as the facts clearly show, my client was not collecting funds during the time period in

RECEIVED TION RECEIVES ION 2: 36 2010 APR -9 PM 2: 36 OFFICE OF GENERA

50% Comitol Mail, Sui

595 Cogitol Mail. Suite 1424 Stateomegrio. CA 96814-4692 Telephone: (916) 442-5952 Focsimile: (916) 442-1280 www.clsonhagel.com



Federal Election Commission General Counsel's Office April 9, 2010 Page 2

question and was certainly not claiming that he was "testing the waters" within the meaning of the FEC regulations. He was discussing his caudidary as a potential candidary with noters and potential supporters to assist him in making the final decision to run for the office. He did not affirmatively solicit contributions for his candidary until he had made the decision to run, opened his bank account and the Committee was registered with the FEC. He did incur some minor expenses as indicated in his Declaration, but these did not meet the \$5,000 threshold in the definition of "candidate." Accordingly, the "testing the waters" rules simply do not apply under these circumstances, and the only question is witen he met the definition of "candidate."

The Complaint also references the fast that the website initially included a contribution option for the Study Committee for Sierra Nevada Leadership (Study Committee). As the Declaration explains, the funds raised for this committee were not raised in support of my client's candidacy and will not be used to support his campaign, and in fact, only \$700 was raised from four individuals (including the candidate, his mother and two other individuals) during the short time this option was on the website. As soon as the campaign bank account was open and the committee was registered, the website was revised to delete the contribution option for the Study Committee.

In summary response to the allogations in the Complaint, my significants dist not violate the Act by not filing the required paperwork with the FEC at an earlier date. At this time, my clients have filed all of the required paperwork with the FEC in accordance with the definitions and requirements of the Act and FEC regulations. Thus we respectfully request that the Commission dismiss the Complaint.

Very truly yours,

OLSON MAGEL & FISHBURN LLP

DIANE M. FISHBURN

E:WPDQCVFUBLICVPOLV0022VDrest FEC Response 4-9-10.do